

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

IAN A. WOODS,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AARON BROWN, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:13-cv-01029-APG-NJK

ORDER

(Docket Nos. 54, 55)

Pending before the Court are two discovery-related motions filed by Plaintiff, in which he argues that Defendants failed to provide all discovery requested and failed to comply with the scheduling order. Docket Nos. 54, 55.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff seeks, *inter alia*, an award of \$3,000 for his “reasonable expenses” in bringing his motion. *See id.* at 3. Defendants filed a response in opposition, arguing that Plaintiff failed to indicate that he conducted a sufficient meet-and-confer prior to filing his motion and that Defendants had mailed their discovery responses. Docket No. 56. According to Defendants, they have now “responded to each outstanding discovery request.” *Id.* at 3. Defendants also assert that the imposition of sanctions is unjust given that they have complied with the discovery requests, and that Plaintiff suffered no prejudice. *See id.* at 5. Plaintiff’s reply asserts that he did attempt to confer with an assistant working at the Attorney

---

<sup>1</sup> As a *pro se* prisoner, the Court construes Plaintiff’s filings liberally. *See, e.g., Blaisdell v. Frappiea*, 729 F.3d 1237, 1241 (9th Cir. 2013).

1 General's Office and that some discovery remains outstanding notwithstanding Defendants' responses. *See*  
2 Docket No. 64 at 3, 6.

3 The Court hereby **ORDERS** the parties to meet-and-confer on the discovery that Plaintiff asserts  
4 remains outstanding. *See* Docket No. 64 at 6. Defendants' counsel shall promptly arrange such a meet-and-  
5 confer. Defendants' counsel shall further file a joint status report regarding any disputed discovery no later  
6 than March 23, 2015. To the extent necessary to complete discovery, the parties may at that time request  
7 an extension to the current deadline for the filing of motions for summary judgment. *See* Docket No. 67  
8 (providing deadline for summary judgment motions of April 6, 2015). With respect to any other discovery  
9 dispute raised in the pending motions, the motions are hereby **DENIED** as moot. With respect to Plaintiff's  
10 request for sanctions, the Court finds them not properly imposed in the circumstances and **DENIES** that  
11 request.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: March 11, 2015

14  
15   
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 NANCY J. KOPPE  
18 United States Magistrate Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28